

Original Article

***Part of this work was presented at Neuroscience Day, Aarhus University, as an oral presentation, May 2017 and poster, May 2018.**

Cite this article: Tønnesen MT, Miani A, Pedersen AS, Mitkidis P, Zak PJ, Winterdahl M. (2018) Neuropeptide Y and religious commitment in healthy young women. *Acta Neuropsychiatrica* page 1 of 7. doi: 10.1017/neu.2018.34

Received: 18 April 2018
Revised: 2 November 2018
Accepted: 8 November 2018

Key words:
neuropeptide Y; oxytocin; religion

Author for correspondence:
Michael Winterdahl, Associate Professor in Neuroimaging, Department of Nuclear Medicine and PET Center, Aarhus University, Nørrebrogade 44, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark. Tel: +45 7846 3029; E-mail: michael.winterdahl@clin.au.dk

© Scandinavian College of Neuropsychopharmacology 2018.



Neuropeptide Y and religious commitment in healthy young women*

Mathilde T. Tønnesen¹, Alessandro Miani¹, Anders Sune Pedersen¹, Panagiotis Mitkidis^{2,3}, Paul J. Zak⁴ and Michael Winterdahl¹

¹Department of Nuclear Medicine and PET Center, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark, ²Department of Management, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark, ³Center for Advanced Hindsight, Duke University, NC, USA and ⁴Center for Neuroeconomics Studies, Claremont Graduate University, Claremont, CA, USA

Abstract

Objective: The present study explores the relationship between neuroactive hormones and religious commitment. We hypothesised that religious commitment is mediated by neuropeptide Y and oxytocin. These neurohormones have a well-established role in general well-being, anxiety regulation, stress-resilience, social affiliation and spirituality. **Methods:** Sixty healthy women (median age 21) participated in the study and completed the Religious Commitment Inventory and other psychometric surveys. Blood was sampled from each participant and serum levels of neuropeptide Y were measured using radioimmunoassay. Oxytocin, stress and sex hormones were measured using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Correlations were tested using non-parametric statistical methods. **Results:** We found a positive correlation between serum neuropeptide Y levels and religious commitment, but not between oxytocin and religious commitment. **Conclusions:** The present study provides preliminary evidence that neuropeptide Y is a biological correlate of religious commitment.

Significant outcomes

- We found a positive correlation between serum neuropeptide Y (NPY) and religious commitment in a group of young, healthy women.
- Oxytocin (OXT) levels were not significantly correlated with religious commitment, likely due to the higher prevalence of use of oral contraceptives in less religious individuals.

Limitations

- The use of oral contraceptives was a confounding factor in the OXT analysis.
- The present study consists of a relatively homogenous group of female American college students limiting the generalisability of the results.
- The scores on the Religious Commitment Inventory may be biased by social desirability resulting in higher self-reported levels of religious commitment.

Introduction

Many studies have revealed a complex relationship between religious practices and mental and physical health (1). This research has shown that religion and spirituality promote health and well-being (2–8), and that religion can be an important source of protection and resilience, helping individuals to cope with stressors (1,3,7,9–12). Religious cues may facilitate prosociality (13), cooperation (14) and in-group assistance (15), but at the same time promote out-group competition and hostility (16–19). Religion promotes resilience to stress by modulating personal traits, by providing meaning to traumatic life events, and by mediating emotional responses (4,5). Religious practice also has an interpersonal dimension, providing a sense of community, social connectedness and support (5). However, the neural mechanisms by which religion promotes resilience and prosocial tendencies or antisocial behaviours remain largely unknown. Here we investigate whether religious commitment is related to serum levels of NPY and OXT.

Neuroactive hormones affect physiological processes that influence human behaviour (20). NPY is one of the most abundant peptides in the brain (21), and plays a role in many physiological functions such as energy homeostasis, food intake, circadian rhythm and cognition (22–27). NPY has a well-established role in promoting general well-being, anxiety regulation and

stress-resilience (21,28). However, a link between NPY and religious practices has not yet been explored. Most research on OXT indicate its positive role in social behaviours in humans and non-human mammals, including reproduction, social attachment, parental care, pair-bonding, prosocial behaviour, parochial altruism, social cognition (29–41), social affiliation (42,43) and in-group conformity (44). Recent studies have found that both saliva and blood levels of OXT were positively correlated with spirituality (36,45) and that intranasal OXT administration significantly increased spirituality (43).

Aims of the study

This study tests the hypothesis that there is a positive relationship between religious commitment and the neurohormones NPY and OXT. We further hypothesise that NPY and OXT levels will correlate with the intrapersonal and interpersonal aspects of religious commitment, respectively.

Methods

Participants

We recruited 60 healthy female students, with a median age of 21, from Claremont Graduate University and Scripps College through flyers and email announcements. Exclusion criteria were: exhibiting clinically significant suicidal ideation; exhibiting psychotic features; substance abuse disorder (according to Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition, Text Revision) within the past 6 months; any current or past psychiatric disorders that could interfere with study adherence; treatment with psychoactive medications (other than mood stabilisers or Ambien) (46), which were assessed during in-person interviews. Questionnaires were administered and blood samples were collected after obtaining written consent and the approval of The Institutional Review Boards of Scripps College and Claremont Graduate University, and in compliance with national legislation and the Code of Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki).

Serological measurements

We performed all testing between 6 pm and 8 pm to control for diurnal variations of hormones. A total of 28 ml of blood was drawn from an antecubital vein, using two 8 ml ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid whole-blood tubes and one 12 ml serum-separator tube using Vacutainer™ (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) blood collection kits. After phlebotomy, each tube was immediately put on ice. The tubes were then placed in a refrigerated centrifuge and spun at 239 g at 4°C for 12 min. The supernatant was pipetted into 2 ml polypropylene Fisherbrand microtubes (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The microtubes were immediately placed on dry ice and then transferred to an –80°C freezer until analysis. All tests were performed at the Endocrine Core Laboratory of the Yerkes National Primate Research Center at Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA. Commercial radioimmunoassay (RIA) or enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits from American Laboratory Products Company, Windham, NH (NPY, RIA), DiaSorin Inc., Stillwater, MN (adrenocorticotrophin hormone), Diagnostic Systems Laboratories, Webster, TX (cortisol, prolactin, estradiol), Beckman Coulter, Webster, TX (testosterone), Siemens,

Los Angeles, CA (progesterone), and Assay Designs, Ann Arbor, MI (OXT), were used. All inter-assay and intra-assay coefficients of variation were within acceptable bounds (<15%) (46).

Psychometric measures

Religious commitment levels were measured using the Religious Commitment Inventory-10 (RCI-10) (47). The participants also completed a demographic survey and additional psychological surveys to assess their general psychological health, including the Jacobs Neglect, Abandonment and Abuse protocol (J-NAAP) (48), the Experiences in Close Relationships-Revised (ECR-R) (49), the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) (50), the Satisfaction with Life Scale (SWLS) (51) and the Resilience Scale (RS) (52).

The RCI-10 (47) measures the degree to which individuals adhere to their religious beliefs, values, and practices, and use them in daily life (53). The RCI-10 scores are measured on a 5-point Likert scale from 1 = 'Not at all true of me' to 5 = 'totally true of me'. The questionnaire assesses both intrapersonal (6 items) and interpersonal (4 items) aspects of religious commitment, with items such as 'Religion is especially important to me because it answers many questions about the meaning of life' and 'I enjoy spending time with others of my religious affiliation'.

The J-NAAP (48) assesses traumatic or stressful life events such as loss or abandonment, serious neglect, physical abuse, emotional abuse and sexual abuse. The ECR-R (49) measures individuals on two subscales of attachment: attachment-related anxiety (i.e. the extent to which people are insecure vs. secure about responsiveness and availability of romantic partners) and attachment-related avoidance (i.e. the extent to which people are uncomfortable being close to others vs. secure depending on others). The BDI (50) measures symptoms of depression and assesses the severity, intensity and depth of depression. The SWLS (51) assesses subjective well-being and the RS (52) measures personality characteristics that moderate the effects of stress.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistical data are presented as median, 25th- and 75th percentile. To define the linear association between serological measurements and psychometric measures, Spearman's ρ correlation coefficients were calculated. Dependent variables were rank transformed before analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) to allow for non-parametric analyses. Mann-Whitney *U* test was used to compare groups. The significance level was set at 5%.

Results

Psychometric measures and serological measurements are summarised in Tables 1 and 2. Of particular note, the median RCI-10 was 40 out of a possible 50.

Religious commitment correlated significantly with NPY [$r_s(58)=0.26$, $p<0.05$], but not with OXT [$r_s(55)=0.24$, $p=0.07$]. The intrapersonal religious commitment subscale was correlated with both NPY [$r_s(58)=0.26$, $p<0.05$] and OXT [$r_s(55)=0.29$, $p<0.05$]. Interpersonal religious commitment and NPY [$r_s(60)=0.22$, $p=0.09$] were not significantly correlated. However, the relationship between interpersonal religious commitment and OXT narrowly missed statistical significance [$r_s(55)=0.26$, $p=0.05$]. The correlations between RCI-10, its subscales and hormones are summarised in Table 3.

Table 1. Psychometric measures

	Age	RCI-10	intrap_RC	interp_RC	Satisfaction with life scale (SWLS)	Resilience scale	BDI	Abuse (J-NAAP)	Abandonment (J-NAAP)	Attachment anxiety (ECR-R)	Attachment avoidance (ECR-R)
Valid	59	60	60	60	49	51	52	60	60	48	48
Missing	1	0	0	0	11	9	8	0	0	12	12
25th percentile	20	30.3	28.3	30.0	4.9	5.5	22.3	0.0	11.0	3.1	1.9
Median	21	40.0	38.3	40.0	5.6	5.8	24.0	0.0	19.0	3.5	2.4
75th percentile	22	50.0	50.0	50.0	6.2	6.2	28.0	21.0	27.0	4.3	3.1

BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; ECR-R, Experiences in Close Relationships-Revised; J-NAAP, Jacobs Neglect, Abandonment, and Abuse protocol; RCI-10, Religious Commitment Inventory-10 (RCI-10). Intrapersonal and interpersonal religious commitment subscales are abbreviated intrap_RC and interp_RC, respectively.

Table 2. Serological measurements

	NPY (pmol/l)	Oxytocin (pg/ml)	ACTH (pg/ml)	Cortisol (μg/dl)	Estradiol (pg/ml)	Progesterone (ng/ml)	Prolactin (ng/ml)	Testosterone (ng/ml)
Valid	60	57	49	60	60	59	57	58
Missing	0	3	11	0	0	1	3	2
25th percentile	81.6	294.1	10.7	9.9	0.4	0.4	8.5	0.8
Median	95.4	385.1	17.1	15.4	2.0	0.6	11.5	1.0
75th percentile	113.7	579.1	27.8	23.4	5.4	1.1	15.8	1.2

ACTH, adrenocorticotrophim hormone; NPY, neuropeptide Y.

Table 3. Spearman's ρ correlations between religious commitment and serological measurements

	RCI-10	intrap_RC	interp_RC	NPY	OXT	ACTH	Cortisol	Estradiol	Progesterone	Prolactin	Testosterone
RCI-10	—										
intrap_RC	0.898***	—									
interp_RC	0.878***	0.885***	—								
NPY	0.261*	0.259*	0.220	—							
OXT	0.243	0.292*	0.260	-0.062	—						
ACTH	-0.121	-0.094	-0.178	0.087	0.071	—					
Cortisol	-0.013	0.065	0.027	-0.073	0.276*	0.107	—				
Estradiol	-0.068	-0.098	-0.088	0.012	-0.454***	0.185	-0.232	—			
Progesterone	0.003	0.014	0.019	0.032	-0.028	-0.165	0.144	0.316*	—		
Prolactin	0.013	0.137	0.010	0.149	-0.085	0.096	0.188	0.250	0.059	—	
Testosterone	-0.077	-0.055	-0.092	0.044	-0.357**	0.052	0.108	0.346**	0.267*	0.170	—

ACTH, adrenocorticotrophim hormone; NPY, neuropeptide Y; OXT, oxytocin; RCI-10, Religious Commitment Inventory-10. Intrapersonal and interpersonal religious commitment subscales are abbreviated intrap_RC and interp_RC, respectively.

* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

We found a negative correlation between OXT and estradiol [$r_s(55) = -0.45$, $p < 0.001$], and, in turn, estradiol and progesterone [$r_s(57) = 0.32$, $p < 0.05$]. Since synthetic estradiol and progesterone are the active ingredients of oral contraceptives, we corrected for the use of oral contraceptives when correlating the intrapersonal religious commitment subscale and OXT. A ranked ANCOVA analysis with the use of oral contraceptives as a fixed factor showed no

correlation between the intrapersonal religious commitment subscale and OXT [$F(1,44) = 0.57$, $p = 0.46$]. Participants were asked if they used oral contraception and 28 out of 50 participants answered positively. In this small sample, women not using oral contraception scored significantly higher on both the intrapersonal and the interpersonal religious commitment subscales compared to women using oral contraception, see Table 4. Correlations were also found

Table 4. Comparison of religious commitment in oral contraceptive users and non-users

	Oral contraceptive non-users (n = 22)			Oral contraceptive users (n = 28)			Mann-Whitney U	p-value
	25th Percentile	Median	75th Percentile	25th Percentile	Median	75th Percentile		
RCI-10	33.6	46.0	50.0	29.3	39.0	49.4	380.0	0.16
intrap_RC	35.0	48.3	50.0	28.3	35.0	41.7	403.0	< 0.05
interp_RC	40.0	47.5	50.0	30.0	40.0	47.5	397.5	< 0.05

RCI-10, Religious Commitment Inventory-10. Intrapersonal and interpersonal religious commitment subscales are abbreviated intrap_RC and interp_RC, respectively.

Table 5. Spearman's ρ correlations between religious commitment and psychometric measures

	RCI-10	intrap_RC	interp_RC	Satisfaction with life scale (SWLS)	Resilience scale	BDI	Abuse (J-NAAP)	Abandonment (J-NAAP)	Attachment anxiety (ECR-R)	Attachment avoidance (ECR-R)
RCI-10	—									
intrap_RC	0.898***	—								
interp_RC	0.878***	0.885***	—							
Satisfaction with life scale (SWLS)	0.017	0.066	0.001	—						
Resilience scale	-0.268	-0.273	-0.269	0.417**	—					
BDI	0.091	0.102	0.135	-0.643***	-0.406**	—				
Abuse (J-NAAP)	-0.141	-0.200	-0.111	-0.349*	0.158	0.264	—			
Abandonment (J-NAAP)	-0.108	-0.179	-0.041	-0.477***	-0.279*	0.112	0.376**	—		
Attachment anxiety (ECR-R)	-0.004	-0.039	0.053	-0.429**	-0.292*	0.170	0.262	0.317*	—	
Attachment avoidance (ECR-R)	0.028	-0.048	-0.010	-0.531***	-0.449**	0.320*	-0.015	0.225	0.591***	—

BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; ECR-R, Experiences in Close Relationships-Revised; J-NAAP, Jacobs Neglect, Abandonment, and Abuse protocol; RCI-10, Religious Commitment Inventory-10. Intrapersonal and interpersonal religious commitment subscales are abbreviated intrap_RC and interp_RC, respectively.
* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

between OXT and cortisol [$r_s(55) = 0.28$, $p < 0.05$] and OXT and testosterone [$r_s(55) = -0.36$, $p < 0.001$]. No significant correlations were found between NPY and other serological measures.

As expected, several highly significant correlations were observed between psychological scales (see Table 5). However, Spearman's ρ analyses did not reveal significant correlations between RCI-10, its subscales and other psychological measures. No significant correlations were observed between NPY and resilience [$r_s(49) = -0.04$, $p = 0.76$], nor between any of the other psychological scales and NPY and OXT.

Discussion

This study revealed a positive correlation between serum NPY levels and religious commitment in a group of healthy, young women. Previous research suggests several reasons why NPY would be related to religious commitment. The resilience property of religion, for example, is often linked to living a meaningful life and emotional regulation (5). Several lines of evidence indicate that NPY has an important role in adaptation to stress and may help eliminate stress responses (21). Stress involves several biochemical responses, prominently corticotropin-releasing factor (25). Both NPY and corticotropin-releasing factor are present in brain regions

involved in mediating stress and anxiety, including pituitary, brainstem, hypothalamus, cerebral cortex and the amygdala (25). A number of studies have shown that NPY has anxiolytic effects (25) by modulating corticotropin-releasing factor induced responses (54). The oppositional effects of corticotropin-releasing factor and NPY on stress have been observed in the amygdala, hippocampus, hypothalamus, locus coeruleus and the septal nucleus (25). Furthermore, NPY has been associated with resilience after stress or trauma (21). Preclinical and clinical studies have identified the NPY as a mediator between stress exposure and the development of maladaptive versus resilient phenotypes. Enhancing NPY signalling promotes a pro-resilience phenotype (55). Our findings suggest that the resilience property of religion, especially emotional regulation, might be mediated by NPY. Our inability to find a correlation between NPY and self-reported resilience indicates that NPY has no or only an indirect effect on resilience or that the RS may not measure the forms of resilience influenced by NPY (52).

The study design does not allow us to establish the causality between religious commitment and NPY. Recent studies indicate that NPY expression is in part driven by variations in the NPY gene, suggesting that genetic variations may predispose some individuals to low or high NPY expression (56,57). Low levels of NPY expression are associated with greater reactivity in the amygdala to threat-related facial images and the experience of

more negative emotions during painful stressors compared to high NPY genotypes (56–58). This suggests that individuals who are genetically predisposed to having high levels of NPY may have greater resilience to stress compared to low NPY genotypes. Our study indicates that individuals who produce more NPY may modulate stress by participating in religious services and joining religious communities. However, it is still unclear which factors, other than genetic predisposition, influence NPY expression.

It is plausible that participation in a community of faith is related to greater OXT expression. A correlation between OXT and religious commitment would be consistent with findings showing that saliva and plasma OXT levels are positively correlated with self-reported measures of spirituality (36,45) even when controlling for variables associated with spirituality, such as church attendance, positive affect, relationship status and sex (36). This relationship has also been supported by studies using intranasal OXT administration (43) that increased self-reported measures of spirituality. However, in our study, the correlation between OXT and intrapersonal religious commitment did not survive adjustment for the use of oral contraception. Synthetic steroid hormones, present in oral contraceptives, can influence OXT receptor expression in similar fashion as endogenous progesterone and/or estrogens. For example, estrogen receptor β activation increases OXT peptide transcription (59), whereas progesterone is known to both inhibit OXT binding to its receptor (60) and alter OXT receptor densities in limbic regions (61). The present results showed a trend toward a correlation between OXT and interpersonal religious commitment ($p=0.05$). This indicates a potential role of OXT in the interpersonal dimension of religion.

In our study population, we found that oral contraception users scored significantly lower on both religious commitment and its subscores compared to non-users. This could be an effect of different attitudes towards pre-marital sex. Oral contraceptives have been shown to suppress OXT-induced brain reward responses to the partner's face in a functional magnetic resonance imaging study (62). Whether oral contraceptives could blunt responses to spiritual experiences has yet to be explored.

The present study did not find a correlation between religious commitment and psychological measures, whereas previous research has found that religiosity was associated with lower levels of depression (63), less attachment anxiety and attachment avoidance (64), and greater happiness and satisfaction with life (65,66), as well as resilience (5). The difference could be due to the homogeneity of our study population. Individuals who choose to volunteer for studies tend to be healthier, wealthier and better educated (67), which might explain the insignificant correlations as well as the moderate sample size. In addition, only physically and psychologically healthy women were recruited, reducing the incidence of mood disorders, such as anxiety and depression, as well as significant trauma history.

Our results also depend on how religious commitment was measured. For example, a meta-analysis found that specific forms of religious motivation and coping influence the effect of religiosity in depression, indicating that intrinsic more than extrinsic religious motivation was associated with less depression (63). Furthermore, as mentioned above, the RCI-10 focusses on religious practices rather than beliefs. Interestingly, the results revealed a borderline significant negative correlation between religious commitment and resilience ($p=0.057$). This relationship may arise because of different types of resilience: resilience as a personality trait (the RS (52)) and resilience due to other factors,

such as social support, meaningfulness and positive affect through one's faith (5). Thus, in order to get a clearer understanding of the relationship between religious commitment and resilience it is necessary to break down the different components by which religion might promote resilience.

A limitation of the study is the use of the ELISA method rather than RIA, as routinely done in many social and behavioural studies that focus on changes in OXT levels (40). However, OXT degradation products are likely to contribute to reported OXT levels with ELISA so the values obtained may not be comparable with those found in other studies (68). Nevertheless, the correlations should be reproducible in future studies using either of the two methods.

Participants' religious affiliations were not recorded, so this makes generalisability difficult. To get a clearer understanding of the biology of religious beliefs and practices, one must investigate different genders, nationalities, religious affiliations and demographics. In addition, the results of self-reported religious commitment may be biased by socially desirable responses (69). There are social benefits of belonging to a religious community, so participants may perceive religiosity as a socially desirable trait, thereby reporting higher levels of religious commitment than they genuinely possess. Nevertheless, college students tend to be among the least religious demographic group so our results may underestimate the effects that NPY and OXT have on a more varied sample of participants. Indeed, participants were barred from social interactions upon entering the lab so that social interactions would not influence basal NPY or OXT levels. These levels might be considerably different when people attend religious services. Recent research has shown that social interactions, both religious and secular, that cause the release of OXT, eliminated in-group bias monetary transfers compared to those who did not have an increase in OXT, suggesting that religious attendance may promote prosocial behaviours (70).

We found that basal serum NPY significantly correlated with religious commitment, demonstrating, for the first time, an association between NPY and religion. We speculate that NPY may be related to the resilience property of religiosity. However, further studies in a large sample size and with additional psychological measures are necessary to confirm these ideas.

Acknowledgements. Authors' Contribution: P.J.Z. organised the study, recruited participants, conducted the interviews and performed blood sampling. M.W. and P.J.Z. formed the hypothesis and designed the analysis. M.T. analysed the data with help from A.M. and A.S.P. M.T. and M.W. wrote the first draft of the manuscript. A.M., A.S.P., P.M. and P.J.Z. commented on the manuscript and all authors approved the final version.

Funding. This work was supported by the John Templeton Foundation to P.J.Z.

Declarations of Interest. None.

Ethical Standards. The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008.

References

1. AbdAleati NS, Mohd Zaharim N and Mydin YO (2016) Religiousness and mental health: systematic review study. *J Relig Health* 55, 1929–1937.

2. **Clements AD and Ermakova AV** (2012) Surrender to god and stress: a possible link between religiosity and health. *Psychol Relig Spiritual* **4**, 93–107.
3. **Davis SR** (2007) Religiosity, hope, and stress: a test of a mediational model. ProQuest Information & Learning (US). Available at <https://search.proquest.com/psycinfo/docview/622016557/EE15FE961C094471PQ/1>. Accessed August 24, 2017.
4. **Koenig HG and Cohen HJ** (2002) The link between religion and health. Oxford University Press. Available at <http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195143607.001.0001/acprof-9780195143607>. Accessed August 24, 2017.
5. **Pargament KI and Cummings J** (2010) Anchored by faith: religion as a resilience factor. In: Reich JW, Zautra AJ, Hall JS, editors. Handbook of adult resilience, Chapter xix. New York, NY: Guilford Press, p. 193–210.
6. **Raleigh KG** (2001) Religiosity as a protective factor: its influence on family relationships and well-being among rural African American families, PhD, GA: University of Georgia. Available at <https://search.proquest.com/docview/276284873/abstract/587C41F3E04246E8PQ/1>. Accessed August 24, 2017.
7. **Reutter KK and Bigatti SM** (2014) Religiosity and spirituality as resiliency resources: moderation, mediation, or moderated mediation?: Religiosity and spirituality as resources. *J Sci Study Relig* **53**, 56–72.
8. **Schafer WE** (1997) Religiosity, spirituality, and personal distress among college students. *J Coll Stud Dev Baltim* **38**, 633.
9. **Hill PC, Pargament KI, Hood RW, McCullough M, Swyers JP, Larson DB and Zinnbauer BJ** (2000) Conceptualizing religion and spirituality: points of commonality, points of departure. *J Theory Soc Behav* **30**, 51–77.
10. **Magyar-Russell G** (2013) Restoring the temple: religiousness, spirituality, and health. *Res Soc Sci Study Relig* **24**, 45–51.
11. **Pargament KI and Park CL** (1997) In times of stress: the religion–coping connection. In: Spilka B, McIntosh DN, editors. The psychology of religion: theoretical approaches, Chapter xii. Boulder, CO: Westview Press, p. 43–53.
12. **Pargament KI and Raiya HA** (2007) A decade of research on the psychology of religion and coping: things we assumed and lessons we learned. *Psyke Logos* **28**, 742–766.
13. **Norenzayan A and Shariff AF** (2008) The origin and evolution of religious prosociality. *Science* **322**, 58–62.
14. **Anderson LR and Mellor JM** (2009) Religion and cooperation in a public goods experiment. *Econ Lett* **105**, 58–60.
15. **Ottoni-Wilhelm M** (2010) Giving to organizations that help people in need: differences across denominational identities. *J Sci Study Relig* **49**, 389–412.
16. **Brewer MB** (1999) The psychology of prejudice: ingroup love and outgroup hate? *J Soc Issues* **55**, 429–444.
17. **Hunsberger B and Jackson LM** (2005) Religion, meaning, and prejudice. *J Soc Issues* **61**, 807–826.
18. **Johnson MK, Rowatt WC and LaBouff JP** (2012) Religiosity and prejudice revisited: in-group favoritism, out-group derogation, or both? *Psychol Relig Spiritual* **4**, 154–168.
19. **Xygalatas D, Klocová EK, Cigán J, Kundt R, Maño P, Kotherová S, Mitkidis P, Wallot S and Kanovsky M** (2016) Location, location, location: effects of cross-religious primes on prosocial behavior. *Int J Psychol Relig* **26**, 304–319.
20. **Carter CS** (1996) Hormonal influences on human behavior. In: Schmitt A, Atzwanger K, Grammer K and Schäfer K, editors. New aspects of human ethology. Boston, MA: Springer, p. 141–162.
21. **Reichmann F and Holzer P** (2016) Neuropeptide Y: a stressful review. *Neuropeptides* **55**, 99–109.
22. **Eaton K, Sallee FR and Sah R** (2007) Relevance of neuropeptide Y (NPY) in psychiatry. *Curr Top Med Chem* **7**, 1645–1659.
23. **Gotzsche CR and Woldbye DPD** (2016) The role of NPY in learning and memory. *Neuropeptides* **55**, 79–89.
24. **Morin LP** (2013) Neuroanatomy of the extended circadian rhythm system. *Exp Neurol* **243**, 4–20.
25. **Sajdyk TJ, Shekhar A and Gehlert DR** (2004) Interactions between NPY and CRF in the amygdala to regulate emotionality. *Neuropeptides* **38**, 225–234.
26. **White JD** (1993) Neuropeptide Y: a central regulator of energy homeostasis. *Regul Pept* **49**, 93–107.
27. **Zhang L, Bijker MS and Herzog H** (2011) The neuropeptide Y system: pathophysiological and therapeutic implications in obesity and cancer. *Pharmacol Ther* **131**, 91–113.
28. **Heilig M** (2004) The NPY system in stress, anxiety and depression. *Neuropeptides* **38**, 213–224.
29. **Zak PJ** (2013) The moral molecule: the source of love and prosperity: New York: Dutton; 269 pp.
30. **Carter C, Grippo A, Pournajafi-Nazarloo H, Ruscio M and Porges S** (2008) Oxytocin, vasopressin and sociality. In: Neumann ID and Landgraf R, editors. Progress in brain research. Amsterdam, Holland: Elsevier, p. 331–336.
31. **Carter CS** (2014) Oxytocin pathways and the evolution of human behavior. *Annu Rev Psychol* **65**, 17–39.
32. **Carter CS** (1998) Neuroendocrine perspectives on social attachment and love. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **23**, 779–818.
33. **De Dreu CK, Greer LL, Handgraaf MJ, Shalvi S, Van Kleef GA, Baas M, Ten Velden FS, Van Dijk E and Feith SW** (2010) The neuropeptide oxytocin regulates parochial altruism in intergroup conflict among humans. *Science* **328**, 1408–1411.
34. **Donaldson ZR and Young LJ** (2008) Oxytocin, vasopressin, and the neurogenetics of sociality. *Science* **322**, 900–904.
35. **Heinrichs M and Domes G** (2008) Neuropeptides and social behaviour: effects of oxytocin and vasopressin in humans. In: Neumann ID and Landgraf R, editors. Progress in brain research. Amsterdam, Holland: Elsevier, p. 337–350.
36. **Holbrook C, Hahn-Holbrook J and Holt-Lunstad J** (2015) Self-reported spirituality correlates with endogenous oxytocin. *Psychol Relig Spiritual* **7**, 46–50.
37. **Insel TR and Young LJ** (2001) The neurobiology of attachment. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **2**, 129–136.
38. **Kosfeld M, Heinrichs M, Zak PJ, Fischbacher U and Fehr E** (2005) Oxytocin increases trust in humans. *Nature* **435**, 673–676.
39. **Lim MM and Young LJ** (2006) Neuropeptidergic regulation of affiliative behavior and social bonding in animals. *Horm Behav* **50**, 506–517.
40. **Zak PJ, Kurzban R and Matzner WT** (2005) Oxytocin is associated with human trustworthiness. *Horm Behav* **48**, 522–527.
41. **Zak PJ, Kurzban R and Matzner WT** (2004) The neurobiology of trust. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **1032**, 224–227.
42. **Bartz JA, Zaki J, Bolger N and Ochsner KN** (2011) Social effects of oxytocin in humans: context and person matter. *Trends Cogn Sci* **15**, 301–309.
43. **Van Cappellen P, Way BM, Isgett SF and Fredrickson BL** (2016) Effects of oxytocin administration on spirituality and emotional responses to meditation. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci* **11**, 1579–1587.
44. **Stallen M, De Dreu CKW, Shalvi S, Smidts A and Sanfey AG** (2012) The herding hormone: oxytocin stimulates in-group conformity. *Psychol Sci* **23**, 1288–1292.
45. **Kelsch CB, Ironson G, Szeto A, Kremer H, Schneiderman N and Mendez AJ** (2013) The relationship of spirituality, benefit finding, and other psychosocial variables to the hormone oxytocin in HIV/AIDS. *Res Soc Sci Study Relig* **24**, 137–162.
46. **Winterdahl M, Miani A, Vercoe MJH, Ciovia A, Uber-Zak L, Rask CU and Zak PJ** (2017) Vulnerability to psychogenic non-epileptic seizures is linked to low neuropeptide Y levels. *Stress* **20**, 589–597.
47. **Worthington Jr EL, Wade NG, Hight TL, Ripley JS, McCullough ME, Berry JW, Schmitt MM, Berry JT, Bursley KH and O’connor L** (2003) The religious commitment inventory–10: development, refinement, and validation of a brief scale for research and counseling. *J Couns Psychol* **50**, 84–96.
48. **Jacobs DF** (2002) *Jacobs neglect, abandonment and abuse protocol (J-NAAP)*. Redlands, CA: Author.
49. **Fraley RC, Waller NG and Brennan KA** (2000) An item response theory analysis of self-report measures of adult attachment. *J Pers Soc Psychol* **78**, 350–365.

50. Beck AT, Ward CH, Mendelson M, Mock J and Erbaugh J (1961) An inventory for measuring depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **4**, 561–571.
51. Diener E, Emmons RA, Larsen RJ and Griffin S (1985) The satisfaction with life scale. *J Pers Assess* **49**, 71–75.
52. Wagnild GM and Young HM (1993) Development and psychometric evaluation of the Resilience scale. *J Nurs Meas* **1**, 165–178.
53. Worthington EL (1988) Understanding the values of religious clients: a model and its application to counseling. *J Couns Psychol* **35**, 166–174.
54. Heilig M, Koob GF, Ekman R and Britton KT (1994) Corticotropin-releasing factor and neuropeptide Y: role in emotional integration. *Trends Neurosci* **17**, 80–85.
55. Kautz M, Charney DS and Murrough JW (2017) Neuropeptide Y, resilience, and PTSD therapeutics. *Neurosci Lett* **649**, 164–169.
56. Mickey BJ, Zhou Z, Heitzeg MM, Heinz E, Hodgkinson CA, Hsu DT, Langenecker SA, Love TM, Peciña M, Shafir T, Stohler CS, Goldman D and Zubieta JK (2011) Emotion processing, major depression, and functional genetic variation of neuropeptide Y. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **68**, 158–166.
57. Zhou Z, Zhu G, Hariri AR, Enoch M-A, Scott D and Sinha R et al. (2008) Genetic variation in human NPY expression affects stress response and emotion. *Nature* **452**, 997–1001.
58. Enman NM, Sabban EL, McGonigle P and Van Bockstaele EJ (2015) Targeting the neuropeptide Y system in stress-related psychiatric disorders. *Neurobiol Stress* **1**, 33–43.
59. Nomura M, McKenna E, Korach KS, Pfaff DW and Ogawa S (2002) Estrogen receptor-beta regulates transcript levels for oxytocin and arginine vasopressin in the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus of male mice. *Brain Res Mol Brain Res* **109**, 84–94.
60. Grazzini E, Guillon G, Mouillac B and Zingg HH (1998) Inhibition of oxytocin receptor function by direct binding of progesterone. *Nature* **392**, 509–512.
61. Patchev VK, Schlosser SF, Hassan AH and Almeida OF (1993) Oxytocin binding sites in rat limbic and hypothalamic structures: site-specific modulation by adrenal and gonadal steroids. *Neuroscience* **57**, 537–543.
62. Nawijn L, van Zuiden M, Koch SBJ, Frijling JL, Veltman DJ and Olf M (2017) Intranasal oxytocin increases neural responses to social reward in post-traumatic stress disorder. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci* **12**, 212–223.
63. Smith TB, McCullough ME and Poll J (2003) Religiousness and depression: evidence for a main effect and the moderating influence of stressful life events. *Psychol Bull* **129**, 614–636.
64. Pollard SE, Riggs SA and Hook JN (2014) Mutual influences in adult romantic attachment, religious coping, and marital adjustment. *J Fam Psychol* **28**, 615–624.
65. Ferriss AL (2002) Religion and the quality of life. *J Happiness Stud* **3**, 199–215.
66. Okulicz-Kozaryn A (2010) Religiosity and life satisfaction across nations. *Ment Health Relig Cult* **13**, 155–169.
67. Jordan S, Watkins A, Storey M, Allen SJ, Brooks CJ and Garaiova I et al. (2013) Volunteer bias in recruitment, retention, and blood sample donation in a randomised controlled trial involving mothers and their children at six months and two years: a longitudinal analysis. Hills RK, editor. *PLoS One* **8**, e67912.
68. Szeto A, McCabe PM, Nation DA, Tabak BA, Rossetti MA and McCullough ME et al. (2011) Evaluation of enzyme immunoassay and radioimmunoassay methods for the measurement of plasma oxytocin. *Psychosom Med* **73**, 393–400.
69. Furnham A (1986) Response bias, social desirability and dissimulation. *Personal Individ Differ* **7**, 385–400.
70. Terris ET, Beavin LE, Barraza JA, Schloss J and Zak PJ (2018) Endogenous oxytocin release eliminates in-group bias in monetary transfers with perspective-taking. *Front Behav Neurosci* **12**, 35.